

Mitzy Ozaki
340 S. Timken Road,
Anaheim, CA 92808

LETTER 10

April 30, 2004

City Staff
200 S. Anaheim Blvd, Suite #162
Anaheim, CA 92805

Attention: Jonathan Borrego,

This correspondence is in regard to the staff report on the General Plan Amendment. In reviewing this document, I have concluded that staff has chosen to create homogeneity between two distinct geographic areas. To recognize that there are two distinct areas is not to infer a division of the City but rather to recognize the diversity and uniqueness of this vast City.

As a citizen of Anaheim, I am proud of and recognize the socio-economic value of the Disney Park, Edison Field, the Pond, Civic Center and the historic sites of "Old Anaheim". As a resident of the Santa Ana Canyon, I also recognize the uniqueness the Canyon-Hills area.

Our early planners recognized this unique assets as it was designated the "Scenic Corridor (SC) Overlay Zone. I quote Chapter 18.84.010, "The Scenic Corridor Overlay Zone is intended to provide for and promote orderly growth in certain areas of the City designated as being distinctive, scenic assets of these areas as valuable resource to the community."...."This area has been so designated as an area of distinctive natural and rural beauty characterized and exemplified by the interrelationship between such primary natural features as the rolling terrain, winding river, Eucalyptus tree windbreaks and the profusion of natural vegetation.

In an effort to protect and retain these distinctive assets many of us supported ordinances and codes which were intended to protect the area naturalness and beauty. It is with this in mind that I make the following comments and statements to the proposed GPA.

- 10-1** *Page 8 item (5) f- I oppose the elimination of "Hillside" designation. Again, a unique and distinctive feature in the Canyon, need not be expunged for the sake of homogeneity with the rest of the city.*
- 10-2** *Page 21, item (22)- I oppose the modification of the current sign provisions in the SC. The current restrictions were made to limit size, types, and numbers of signs in the SC to limit their impact on the environment.*
- 10-3** *Page 21, item (22)- I oppose the structural height increase by 5 feet. By a fair guess, 99%+ homes in the Canyon have abided by the 25 ft. limit. So why change a policy that is working so well. The 25-ft limit on structural height serves to allow a better blending of structures to the rolling terrain and hillside. It also ensures a degree of privacy, where greater height may intrude and overlook into neighboring property. Lastly, it protects hillside views of the river, hills, and canyons. There is no need for the increase in height; this change could negatively affect the thousands of existing homes.*
- 10-4** *Pages 37 and 38- I oppose the changing of "Equestrian" to "Riding and Hiking Trails. Members of the TrailsCcommittee(Dione Hesketh, moved from area; Linda Bedford, succumbed to cancer this past year) did not want motor bike riders on the Equestrian and Hiking Trails. Equestrian also confers a more rural designation of the Canyon trails.*

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,
Mitzy Ozaki

2. *Response to Comments*

10. **Response to Comments From Mitzy Ozaki, Dated April 30, 2004**

- 10-1 Although not related to the DEIR, your comments on elimination of the “Hillside” Designation are hereby noted, included in the official environmental record of the proposed project, and will be forwarded to the appropriate City of Anaheim decision-makers for their review and consideration.
- 10-2 Although not related to the DEIR, your comments are hereby noted, included in the official environmental record of the proposed project, and will be forwarded to the appropriate City of Anaheim decision-makers for their review and consideration.
- 10-3 As requested in your comment letter, the City is no longer proposing an increase 25 foot height limit within the Scenic Corridor Overlay Zone.
- 10-4 ~~Although not related to the DEIR, your comments are hereby noted, included in the official environmental record of the proposed project, and will be forwarded to the appropriate City of Anaheim decision-makers for their review and consideration.~~
The City will be renaming the proposed Trails Plan in the Green Element (Figure G-5) to “Equestrian, Riding and Hiking Trails Plan.”