


Anaheim Housing Element Update Survey Results

District Participants

Table 1-1 and Figure 1-1 demonstrate the number of survey participants per district. Out of the 323 survey participants, only 191 participants answered this question. Therefore, the following analysis of responses by Council District only reflects those participants that identified as residing in a specific district. In addition, although the survey included a map of the Council Districts, the largest numbers of respondents (64 out of 191) answered that they were unsure of the Council District in which they resided.

Table 1-1: Survey Participants per District	
District 1	31
District 2	19
District 3	38
District 4	6
District 5	23
District 6	12
Unsure	62

Figure 1-1: Survey Participants per District



Note: Survey questions allowed participants to select multiple answers that participants feel are applicable. Participants were also allowed to skip the question. Therefore, the total number of participants per question may vary.

Slide 2: Housing Opportunity

Slide 2A: Single-Family Housing Types


The survey asked, “What types of single-family housing best help Anaheim provide housing for all residents in the community?” and allowed respondents to select more than one housing type to answer to the question.

District 1

As demonstrated in Table 2A and Figure 2A-1, District 1 participants selected *Traditional Single-Family Homes (23)* to be the best type of single-family housing to help Anaheim provide housing for all residents in the community.

Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs)	9
Multi-Generational Homes	10
Small Lot Single-Family Homes	12
Townhomes	10
Traditional Single-Family Homes	23

Figure 2A-1: Single-Family Housing Types Voted in District 1




Note: Survey questions allowed participants to select multiple answers that participants feel are applicable. Participants were also allowed to skip the question. Therefore, the total number of participants per question may vary.

District 2

As demonstrated in Table 2A-2 and Figure 2A-2, District 2 participants equally selected *Traditional Single-Family Homes* (**11**) and *Town Homes* (**11**) to be the best types of single-family housing to help Anaheim provide housing for all residents in the community

Table 2A-2: Single-Family Housing Types Voted by Participants in D2	
Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs)	5
Multi-Generational Homes	5
Small Lot Single-Family Homes	7
Townhomes	11
Traditional Single-Family Homes	11

Figure 2A-2: Single-Family Housing Types Voted in District 2




Note: Survey questions allowed participants to select multiple answers that participants feel are applicable. Participants were also allowed to skip the question. Therefore, the total number of participants per question may vary.

District 3

As demonstrated in Table 2A-3 and Figure 2A-3, District 3 participants selected *Traditional Single-Family Homes (22)* to be the best type of single-family housing to help Anaheim provide housing for all residents in the community.

Table 2A-3: Single-Family Housing Types Voted by Participants in D3	
Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs)	16
Multi-Generational Homes	17
Small Lot Single-Family Homes	20
Townhomes	19
Traditional Single-Family Homes	22

Figure 2-3: Single-Family Housing Types Voted in District 3




Note: Survey questions allowed participants to select multiple answers that participants feel are applicable. Participants were also allowed to skip the question. Therefore, the total number of participants per question may vary.

District 4

As demonstrated in Table 2A-4 and Figure 2A-4, District 4 participants selected *Townhomes* (4) to be the best type of single-family housing to help Anaheim provide housing for all residents in the community.

Table 2A-4: Single-Family Housing Types Voted by Participants in D4	
Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs)	1
Multi-Generational Homes	3
Small Lot Single-Family Homes	2
Townhomes	4
Traditional Single-Family Homes	2

Figure 2A-4: Single-Family Housing Types Voted in District 4




Note: Survey questions allowed participants to select multiple answers that participants feel are applicable. Participants were also allowed to skip the question. Therefore, the total number of participants per question may vary.

District 5

As demonstrated in Table 2A-5 and Figure 2A-5, District 5 participants selected *Traditional Single-Family Homes (12)* to be the best type of single-family housing to help Anaheim provide housing for all residents in the community

Table 2A-5: Single-Family Housing Types Voted by Participants in D5	
Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs)	7
Multi-Generational Homes	10
Small Lot Single-Family Homes	11
Townhomes	11
Traditional Single-Family Homes	12

Figure 2A-5: Single-Family Housing Types Voted in District 5



Note: Survey questions allowed participants to select multiple answers that participants feel are applicable. Participants were also allowed to skip the question. Therefore, the total number of participants per question may vary.


2021-2029, Housing Element Update
Community Survey – District Summary

District 6

As demonstrated in Table 2A-6 and Figure 2A-6, District 6 participants selected *Townhomes (9)* to be the best type of single-family housing to help Anaheim provide housing for all residents in the community.

Table 2A-6: Single-Family Housing Types Voted by Participants in D6	
Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs)	7
Multi-Generational Homes	8
Small Lot Single-Family Homes	8
Townhomes	9
Traditional Single-Family Homes	7

Figure 2A-6: Single-Family Housing Types Voted in District 6



Note: Survey questions allowed participants to select multiple answers that participants feel are applicable. Participants were also allowed to skip the question. Therefore, the total number of participants per question may vary.

Slide 2B: Multi-Family Housing Types


The survey asked, “What types of multi-family housing best help Anaheim provide housing for all residents in the community?” and allowed respondents to select more than one housing type to answer to the question.

District 1

As demonstrated in Table 2B-1 and Figure 2B-1, District 1 participants selected *Condominiums (20)* to be the best type of multi-family housing to help Anaheim provide housing for all residents in the community.

Apartments	14
Co-Living Units (Leased Per Room)	8
Condominiums	20
Live/Work Units	8
Mixed-Use (Residential and Commercial Uses Together)	13

Figure 2B-1: Multi-Family Housing Types Voted in District 1




Note: Survey questions allowed participants to select multiple answers that participants feel are applicable. Participants were also allowed to skip the question. Therefore, the total number of participants per question may vary.

District 2

As demonstrated in Table 2B-2 and Figure 2B-2, District 2 participants selected *Condominiums (11)* to be the best type of multi-family housing to help Anaheim provide housing for all residents in the community.

Table 2B-2: Multi-Family Housing Types Voted by Participants in D2	
Apartments	9
Co-Living Units (Leased Per Room	5
Condominiums	11
Live/Work Units	5
Mixed-Use (Residential and Commercial Uses Together)	8

Figure 2B-2: Multi-Family Housing Types Voted in District 2




Note: Survey questions allowed participants to select multiple answers that participants feel are applicable. Participants were also allowed to skip the question. Therefore, the total number of participants per question may vary.

District 3

As demonstrated in Table 2B-3 and Figure 2B-3, District 3 participants equally selected *Apartments (21)* and *Condominiums (21)* to be the best types of multi-family housing to help Anaheim provide housing for all residents in the community.

Table 2B-3: Multi-Family Housing Types Voted by Participants in D3	
Apartments	21
Co-Living Units (Leased Per Room	13
Condominiums	21
Live/Work Units	16
Mixed-Use (Residential and Commercial Uses Together)	18

Figure 2B-3: Multi-Family Housing Types Voted by Participants in District 3




Note: Survey questions allowed participants to select multiple answers that participants feel are applicable. Participants were also allowed to skip the question. Therefore, the total number of participants per question may vary.

District 4

As demonstrated in Table 2B-4 and Figures 2B-4, District 4 participants equally selected *Apartments (4)* and *Condominiums (4)* to be the best type of multi-family housing to help Anaheim provide housing for all residents in the community.

Table 2B-4: Multi-Family Housing Types Voted by Participants in D4	
Apartments	4
Co-Living Units (Leased Per Room	0
Condominiums	4
Live/Work Units	1
Mixed-Use (Residential and Commercial Uses Together)	1

Figure 2B-4: Multi-Family Housing Types Voted by Participants in District 4




Note: Survey questions allowed participants to select multiple answers that participants feel are applicable. Participants were also allowed to skip the question. Therefore, the total number of participants per question may vary.

District 5

As demonstrated in Table 2B-5 and Figure 2B-5, District 5 participants selected *Condominiums* (**12**) to be the best type of multi-family housing to help Anaheim provide housing for all residents in the community.

Table 2B-5: Multi-Family Housing Types Voted by Participants in D5	
Apartments	10
Co-Living Units (Leased Per Room	6
Condominiums	12
Live/Work Units	6
Mixed-Use (Residential and Commercial Uses Together)	11
Total	45

Figure 2B-5: Multi-Family Housing Types Voted by Participants in District 5




Note: Survey questions allowed participants to select multiple answers that participants feel are applicable. Participants were also allowed to skip the question. Therefore, the total number of participants per question may vary.

District 6

As demonstrated in Table 2B-6 and Figure 2B-6, District 6 participants equally selected *Condominiums* (8) and *Mixed-Use (Residential and Commercial Uses Together)* (8) to be the best types of multi-family housing to help Anaheim provide housing for all residents in the community.

Table 2-6: Multi-Family Housing Types Voted by Participants in D3	
Apartments	6
Co-Living Units (Leased Per Room	1
Condominiums	8
Live/Work Units	4
Mixed-Use (Residential and Commercial Uses Together)	8

Figure 2B-6: Multi-Family Housing Types Voted by Participants in District 6



Note: Survey questions allowed participants to select multiple answers that participants feel are applicable. Participants were also allowed to skip the question. Therefore, the total number of participants per question may vary.


Slide 2C: For-Sale or Rental Housing

The survey asked, “Do you think Anaheim has a lack of for-sale or rental housing?” and allowed respondents to respond with any of the answers shown in the Table 2C and Figure 2C below.

As indicated in Table 2C and Figure 2C, the consensus for survey participants is that the City of Anaheim has a lack of both For-Sale and Rental Housing.

<i>Table 2C: Lack of For-Sale or Rental Housing Voted by District</i>				
	Lack of For Sale-Homes	Lack of Rental Homes	Both	Neither
District 1	3	1	11	12
District 2	5	1	5	7
District 3	7	3	16	10
District 4	2	0	3	1
District 5	5	1	8	6
District 6	2	0	7	2
Not Sure	9	6	27	14

Figure 2C: Lack of For-Sale or Rental Housing Voted by District



Note: Survey questions allowed participants to select multiple answers that participants feel are applicable. Participants were also allowed to skip the question. Therefore, the total number of participants per question may vary.

Slide 2D: Special Housing Types


The survey asked respondents to select the special needs housing groups that they believe need additional housing in Anaheim. The survey allowed respondents to select more than one special housing type to answer the question.

District 1

As indicated in Table 2D-1 and Figure 2D-1, District 1 participants selected *Senior Housing* (**19**) as the group that most needs housing modifications or specific housing accommodations.

Table 2D-1: Need of Additional Housing for Special Groups	
Special Housing Types	Number of Responses
Affordable Housing	16
Persons with Disabilities	16
Senior Housing	19
Student Housing	8
Supportive Housing (helping people maintain stable housing)	18
Transitional Housing for People Experiencing Homelessness	15

Figure 2D-1: Need of Additional Housing for Special Groups in District 1




Note: Survey questions allowed participants to select multiple answers that participants feel are applicable. Participants were also allowed to skip the question. Therefore, the total number of participants per question may vary.

District 2

As indicated in Table 2D-2 and Figure 2D-2, District 2 participants selected *Affordable Housing (12)* and *Persons with Disabilities (12)* as the groups that most need housing modifications or specific housing accommodations.

Table 2D-2: Need of Additional Housing for Special Groups	
Special Housing Types	Count of Special Housing Types
Affordable Housing	12
Persons with Disabilities	12
Senior Housing	11
Student Housing	3
Supportive Housing (helping people maintain stable housing)	9
Transitional Housing for People Experiencing Homelessness	9

Figure 2D-2: Need of Additional Housing for Special Groups in District 2




Note: Survey questions allowed participants to select multiple answers that participants feel are applicable. Participants were also allowed to skip the question. Therefore, the total number of participants per question may vary.

District 3

As indicated in Table 2D-3 and Figure 2D-3, District 3 participants selected *Affordable Housing (25)* as the group that most needs housing modifications or specific housing accommodations.

Table 2D-3: Need of Additional Housing for Special Groups	
Special Housing Type	Count of Special Housing Type
Affordable Housing	25
Persons with Disabilities	19
Senior Housing	24
Student Housing	9
Supportive Housing (helping people maintain stable housing)	19
Transitional Housing for People Experiencing Homelessness	21

Figure 2D-3: Need of Additional Housing for Special Groups in District 3




Note: Survey questions allowed participants to select multiple answers that participants feel are applicable. Participants were also allowed to skip the question. Therefore, the total number of participants per question may vary.

District 4

As indicated in Table 2D-4 and Figure 2D-4, District 4 participants selected *Senior Housing (5)* and *Transitional Housing for People Experiencing Homelessness (5)* as the groups that most need housing modifications or specific housing accommodations.

Table 2D-4: Need of Additional Housing for Special Groups	
Special Housing Types	Count of Special Housing Types
Affordable Housing	4
Persons with Disabilities	3
Senior Housing	5
Student Housing	3
Supportive Housing (helping people maintain stable housing)	4
Transitional Housing for People Experiencing Homelessness	5

Figure 2D-4: Need of Additional Housing for Special Groups in District 4




Note: Survey questions allowed participants to select multiple answers that participants feel are applicable. Participants were also allowed to skip the question. Therefore, the total number of participants per question may vary.

District 5

As indicated in Table 2D-5 and Figure 2D-5, District 5 participants selected *Affordable Housing (18)* as the group that most needs housing modifications or specific housing accommodations.

Table 2D-5: Need of Additional Housing for Special Groups	
Special Housing Type	Count of Special Housing Type
Affordable Housing	18
Persons with Disabilities	13
Senior Housing	15
Student Housing	5
Supportive Housing (helping people maintain stable housing)	11
Transitional Housing for People Experiencing Homelessness	13

Figure 2D-5: Need of Additional Housing for Special Groups in District 5




Note: Survey questions allowed participants to select multiple answers that participants feel are applicable. Participants were also allowed to skip the question. Therefore, the total number of participants per question may vary.

District 6

As indicated in Table 2D-6 and Figure 2D-6, District 6 participants selected *Affordable Housing (9)* as the group that most needs housing modifications or specific housing accommodations.

Table 2D-6: Need of Additional Housing for Special Groups	
Special Housing Types	Count of Special Housing Types
Affordable Housing	9
Persons with Disabilities	4
Senior Housing	6
Student Housing	4
Supportive Housing (helping people maintain stable housing)	6
Transitional Housing for People Experiencing Homelessness	8

Figure 2D-6: Need of Additional Housing for Special Groups in District 5



Note: Survey questions allowed participants to select multiple answers that participants feel are applicable. Participants were also allowed to skip the question. Therefore, the total number of participants per question may vary.

Slide 2E: Barriers to Housing Growth


The survey asked, “What are some barriers or constraints to housing growth in Anaheim?” and allowed respondents to respond with any of the answers shown in the Table 2E-1 and Figure 2E-1 below.

District 1

According to Table 2E-1 and Figure 2E-1, participants in District 1 most frequently selected *cost* as a barrier or constraint to housing growth in Anaheim, followed by *Available Land*.

Table 2E-1: Participants Vote of Barriers to Housing Growth in District 1	
Available Funding	8
Available Land	15
Community Opposition	12
Cost	19
Unit Size	7

Figure 2E-1: Participants Vote of Barriers to Housing Growth in District 1




Note: Survey questions allowed participants to select multiple answers that participants feel are applicable. Participants were also allowed to skip the question. Therefore, the total number of participants per question may vary.

District 2

According to Table 2E-2 and Figure 2E-2, participants in District 2 most frequently selected *cost* as a barrier or constraint to housing growth in Anaheim, followed by *Available Land*.

Table 2E-2: Participants Vote of Barriers to Housing Growth in District 2	
Available Funding	5
Available Land	8
Community Opposition	6
Cost	15
Unit Size	2

Figure 2E-2: Participants Vote of Barriers to Housing Growth in District 2




Note: Survey questions allowed participants to select multiple answers that participants feel are applicable. Participants were also allowed to skip the question. Therefore, the total number of participants per question may vary.

District 3

According to Table 2E-3 and Figure 2E-3, participants in District 3 most frequently selected *cost* as a barrier or constraint to housing growth in Anaheim, followed by *Available Land*.

Table 2E-3: Participants Vote of Barriers to Housing Growth in District 3	
Available Funding	12
Available Land	20
Community Opposition	15
Cost	28
Unit Size	7

Table 2E-3: Participants Vote of Barriers to Housing Growth in District 3




Note: Survey questions allowed participants to select multiple answers that participants feel are applicable. Participants were also allowed to skip the question. Therefore, the total number of participants per question may vary.

District 4

According to Table 2E-4 and Figure 2E-4, participants in District 4 most frequently selected *cost* and *available land* as barriers or constraint to housing growth in Anaheim.

Table 2E-4: Participants Vote of Barriers to Housing Growth in District 4	
Available Funding	4
Available Land	1
Community Opposition	2
Cost	4
Unit Size	2

Figure 2E-4: Participants Vote of Barriers to Housing Growth in District 4




Note: Survey questions allowed participants to select multiple answers that participants feel are applicable. Participants were also allowed to skip the question. Therefore, the total number of participants per question may vary.

District 5

According to Table 2E-5 and Figure 2E-5, participants in District 5 most frequently selected *cost* as a barrier or constraint to housing growth in Anaheim, followed by *Available Land*.

Table 2E-5: Participants Vote of Barriers to Housing Growth in District 5	
Available Funding	4
Available Land	14
Community Opposition	10
Cost	16
Unit Size	2

Figure 2E-5: Participants Vote of Barriers to Housing Growth in District 5




Note: Survey questions allowed participants to select multiple answers that participants feel are applicable. Participants were also allowed to skip the question. Therefore, the total number of participants per question may vary.

District 6

According to Table 2E-6 and Figure 2E-6, participants in District 6 most frequently selected *Available Land* as a barrier or constraint to housing growth in Anaheim, followed by *cost*.

Table 2E-6: Participants Vote of Barriers to Housing Growth in District 6	
Available Funding	5
Available Land	11
Community Opposition	5
Cost	9
Unit Size	2

Figure 2E-6: Participants Vote of Barriers to Housing Growth in District 6



Note: Survey questions allowed participants to select multiple answers that participants feel are applicable. Participants were also allowed to skip the question. Therefore, the total number of participants per question may vary.

Slide 3: Priority Ranking: Housing Locations

The survey asked respondents to prioritize the areas where they would most like to see housing in Anaheim. The survey allowed respondents to drag each item above a line in order of preference. The survey allowed respondents to respond with up to five items dragged above the priority line. Table 3.1 depicts the number of times survey participants ranked specific housing categories in their top five choices of priority housing. Table 3.2 demonstrates how survey participants responded in where they would like to see housing in Anaheim. Through the average ranking of each housing category, survey participants among all districts would most like to see housing prioritized in Accessory-Dwelling Units with an average ranking of 2.28 out of five, with five being the highest ranking and one being the lowest ranking.

Table 3.1: Count of Participants' Responses to the Question: Rank your interest in the following areas as potential housing opportunity areas in Anaheim						
	Older Shopping Centers	Transportation Corridors	Accessory Dwelling Units	Along Major Streets	City-Owned Properties	Existing Neighborhoods
District 1	20	20	13	16	19	17
District 2	15	16	10	15	13	11
District 3	29	29	22	28	30	23
District 4	4	5	3	4	4	5
District 5	17	18	16	13	17	15
District 6	8	8	6	8	8	9
Not sure	48	42	36	32	46	39
Total	181	174	136	147	178	156

Table 3.2 Average Ranking of Potential Housing Area Interest per District						
	Older Shopping Centers	Transportation Corridors	Accessory Dwelling Units	Along Major Streets	City-Owned Properties	Within Existing Neighborhoods
District 1	1.85	1.65	2.31	1.69	2.26	2.29
District 2	1.73	2.06	2.50	2.07	2.00	1.73
District 3	1.52	1.90	2.18	2.64	1.80	2.00
District 4	2.00	1.20	2.00	3.50	1.00	2.40
District 5	2.00	1.67	1.88	2.31	2.00	2.20
District 6	1.75	1.75	2.83	1.00	2.75	2.00
Average	1.81	1.70	2.28	2.20	1.97	2.10

Note: Survey questions allowed participants to select multiple answers that participants feel are applicable. Participants were also allowed to skip the question. Therefore, the total number of participants per question may vary.

Slide 5: Wrap-Up: Demographics


The survey asked a number of demographics questions.

Live/Work in Anaheim

The survey asked, “Do you live or work in Anaheim?” According to Table 5A and Figure 5A, of the 191 survey participants that answered this question, participants mainly lived (109) or both lived and worked (70) in the City of Anaheim. District 1 had the highest number of participants living in Anaheim while District 3 had the highest number of participants both living and working in Anaheim.

Table 5A: Participants Living or Working in Anaheim per District				
	Live	Work	Both	Neither
District 1	20	0	11	0
District 2	10	1	8	0
District 3	19	1	18	0
District 4	3	0	3	0
District 5	19	1	3	0
District 6	7	0	5	0
Not Sure	31	7	22	2

Figure 5A: Participants Living or Working in Anaheim per District




Note: Survey questions allowed participants to select multiple answers that participants feel are applicable. Participants were also allowed to skip the question. Therefore, the total number of participants per question may vary.

Rent or Own

The survey asked, “Do you rent or own your home?” According to Table 5B and Figure 5B, of the 188 survey participants that answered this question, 118 participants owned their home. District 3 had the highest number of participants who own their home while District 2 had the highest number of participants who rent their home.

Table 5B: Participants Renting or Owning Property by District				
	I own my home	I own property that I rent to others	I rent my home	Neither
District 1	23	0	4	4
District 2	12	0	5	2
District 3	31	1	4	1
District 4	3	0	3	0
District 5	15	1	4	2
District 6	9	0	2	1
Not Sure	25	1	27	8

Figure 5B: Participants Renting or Owning Property by District



Persons per Household


The survey asked, “How many people are in your household?” According to Table 5C and Figure 5C, of the 182 survey participants that responded to this question, the most frequent household size was 2. Note: Survey questions allowed participants to select multiple answers that participants feel are applicable. Participants were also allowed to skip the question. Therefore, the total number of participants per question may vary.

2021-2029, Housing Element Update
Community Survey – District Summary

number in District 1, 2, 3, and 5 is a two-person household, whereas District 4’s most frequent household number is equal between 3-persons, 4-persons and 5-persons. District 6’s most frequent household number is equal between 2-persons and 4-persons per household.

Table 5C: Persons per Household by District							
	Myself	2 (myself and 1 other)	3 (myself and 2 other)	4 (myself and 3 other)	5 (myself and 4 other)	6 (myself and 5 other)	More than 6 people (including myself)
District 1	4	13	3	3	4	0	2
District 2	2	6	2	4	3	0	1
District 3	3	16	11	4	3	0	0
District 4	0	0	2	2	2	0	0
District 5	2	9	4	4	1	1	0
District 6	0	4	1	4	2	1	0
Not Sure	10	10	10	20	3	4	2

Figure 5C: Persons per Household by District




Note: Survey questions allowed participants to select multiple answers that participants feel are applicable. Participants were also allowed to skip the question. Therefore, the total number of participants per question may vary.

Income Level

The survey asked, “What is your total household income?” and allowed respondents to respond with any of the answers shown in the Table 5D and Figure 5D below. As shown in Table 5D and Figure 5D, of the 183 survey participants that responded to this question, the majority of District 1 participants had an income level between \$82,401 and \$123,600. The majority of District 2 participants had an income level between \$82,401 and \$123,600. The majority of District 3 participants had an income level of more than \$123,600. The majority of District 4 participants had an income level between \$82,401 and \$123,600. The majority of District 5 participants had an income level between \$82,401 and \$123,600. The majority of District 6 participants had an income level between \$82,401 and \$123,600. The majority of the participants who were not sure of their District had an income level of less than \$51,500.

	Less than \$51,500	\$51,501 - \$82,400	\$82,401 - \$123,600	More than \$123,600	I prefer not to say
District 1	7	6	8	5	5
District 2	2	2	7	1	5
District 3	5	6	9	12	6
District 4	0	1	2	1	2
District 5	3	2	7	4	6
District 6	0	1	4	3	3
Not Sure	17	12	12	6	11

Figure 5D: Participant Income Level by District



Note: Survey questions allowed participants to select multiple answers that participants feel are applicable. Participants were also allowed to skip the question. Therefore, the total number of participants per question may vary.